Commonwealth of Massachusetts



Executive Office of Environmental Affairs

MEPA Office

Environmental Notification Form

	For Office Use Only
Executive	Office of Environmental Affairs

EUEA No.:	<u> </u>
MEPA Analyst/)ic	K ZAVOLAS
Phone: 617-626	

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Lancaster Golf Center Site Improvements				
Street: 438 Old Union Turnpike				
Municipality: Lancaster	Watershed: North Nashua River			
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:	Latitude: 42° 3			
	Longitude: 71°			
Estimated commencement date: 06/08		oletion date: 08/08		
Approximate cost: \$100,000+/-	Status of project design: 100 %complete			
Proponent: Lancaster Technology Park Limit	ed Partnership c	10		
Concord Property Management				
Street: One Main Street				
Municipality: Concord	State: MA	Zip Code: 01742		
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies	_	Be Obtained:		
Andrew W. Platt, P.E. / Matthew D. Smith, F	P.E			
Firm/Agency: Bohler Engineering, P.C.		Street: 352 Turnpike Road		
Municipality: Southborough	State: MA	Zip Code: 01772		
Phone: (508) 480-9900 Fax: (5	08) 480-9080	E-mail: msmith@bohlereng.com		
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)? ☐ Yes ☑ No Has this project been filed with MEPA before? ☐ Yes (EOEA No) ☑ No Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA before? ☑ Yes (EOEA No) ☐ No				
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) requesting: a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11.09) Yes No a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11) Yes No a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)				
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres):None				
Are you requesting coordinated review with any o		regional, or local agency?		
List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals:		rvation Commission Order of		

Which ENF or EIR review thresh	nold(s) does th	ne project me	et or exceed	i (see 301 CMR 11.03):
☐ Land ☐ Water ☐ Energy ☐ ACEC	Rare Speci Wastewate Air Regulations	r 📋	Transportat Solid & Haz Historical & Resources	ardous Waste Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals
	AND			Order of Conditions
Total site acreage: full property	60.6±			Superseding Order of Conditions
New acres of land altered		0.9±		☐ Chapter 91 License
Acres of impervious area	2.2±	0.4	1.8±	401 Water Quality Certification
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		0		☐ MHD or MDC Access Permit
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		22,800±		
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0		☐ New Source Approval
STRU	JCTURES			DEP or MWRA
				Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit
Gross square footage	8,307	0	8,307	Other Permits (including Legislative Approvals) - Specify:
Number of housing units	0	0	0	
Maximum height (in feet)	30'±	0	30'±	 -
TRANS	PORTATION			
Vehicle trips per day	500+/-	0	500+/-	
Parking spaces	170	0	170	
WAS	TEWATER			;
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	<2,000	0	<2,000	
GPD water withdrawal				
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	<1,000	0	<1,000	
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	0	0	0	
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the pro- natural resources to any purpose not Yes (Specify		vith Article 97?		nd or other Article 97 public

Will it involve the release of any conservation restriction, preservation restriction, agricultural preservation restriction, or watershed preservation restriction?

Yes (Specify)	⊠No
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Hab Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?		of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority ⊠No
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the	pro	ject site include any structure, site or district
listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of	f His	storic and Archaeological Assets of the
Commonwealth?		
☐Yes (Specify)	⊠No
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction or archaeological resources?	f an	y listed or inventoried historic or
☐Yes (Specify)	□No
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: is the Environmental Concern? Yes (Specify	pro	oject in or adjacent to an Area of Critical No
DDO IFOT DECODINE OF	_′	£31.14

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)

The applicant proposes to grade an approximately one acre area located to the south of the existing Golf Center building and two smaller separate areas northeast of the building. The proposed work involves filling an existing rubber lined irrigation sump and associated Isolated Land Subject to Flooding (ILSF) currently used to hold irrigation water for the golf course located at the site. In April, 2006, the Lancaster Conservation Commission issued a Determination of Applicability ruling that the sump area is considered an Isolated Land Subject to Flooding (ILSF [310 CMR 10.57(2)(b)]). The ILSF has an area of approximately 22,800 square feet and the sump is approximately 5 to 6 feet deep at its deepest points. The ILSF is located in a depression that was reportedly originally excavated for agricultural use, and there is no defined inlet or outlet to the sump; water is supplied to the sump from runoff from the 2.5± acre watershed draining to it and is supplemented by pumping water into it from the wetlands to the east. Water is then regularly pumped from the sump to irrigate the golf course and driving range. The average water surface elevation (maintained by pumping) is approximately 429.0. The lowest point adjacent to the ILSF at which water could overflow out of the ILSF is at elevation 430.5+/-.

The project proposes to drain the sump, remove the rubber liner, and then fill the sump and ILSF using clean fill. Fill would be graded to slope from high points in the south towards lower points to the north. All disturbed areas will be stabilized with loam and seed.

The project as proposed will permit further expansion of the golf course facilities proximate to the existing clubhouse area. Other areas for expansion on-site are available, but are not as desirable given that they are set further away from the existing clubhouse facility or require extensive clearing and earthwork. The proposed work in ILSF satisfies the regulatory performance standards of the MA Wetland Regulations for work in ILSF under 310 CMR 10.57(4)(b), therefore, onsite or offsite mitigation measures are not warranted as further detailed within the information provided in the Appendix. A review letter from the Town of Lancaster's review consultant, BSC Group, relative to the project's impacts further supports this finding, as does the issued Order of Conditions from the Lancaster Conservation Commission. These documents are enclosed herein.

The other two areas to be graded include locations shown on the enclosed plans as non-jurisdictional wetland areas "BC" and "BD". Areas BC and BD do not "border" any water body or

waterway, and therefore do not qualify as Bordering Vegetated Wetland in accordance with the Massachusetts Wetland Regulations at 310 CMR 10.55(2)(a). Similarly, areas BC and BD do not have the capacity to pond the requisite ½ acre-feet for potential consideration as ILSF in accordance with 310 CMR 10.57(2)(b)1. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers determined that these areas and the ILSF should not be considered Waters of the United States in a letter dated March 26, 2007, included in the Appendix. Therefore, the only project impact to a jurisdictional resource area is to the ILSF.

The only State permit required for this project is a Superseding Order from DEP given that the municipally-granted Order of Conditions was appealed by nearby residents.

The property has been considered for various commercial developments over the past 10 years, most recently for a large retail development that was the subject of a MEPA filing in May 2006. While the property may be otherwise developed in the future, the proponent currently has no alternate plans from the project described with this ENF. If and when additional site development is considered, required filings with MEPA will occur in accordance with applicable regulations.

<u>LAND SECTION</u> - all proponents must fill out this section

l.	Thresholds / Permits A. Does the project meet or exceed any review thresholds related to land (see 301 CMR 11.03(1) Yes X No; if yes, specify each threshold:
II.	Impacts and Permits A. Describe, in acres, the current and proposed character of the project site, as follows: Existing Change Total
	B. Has any part of the project site been in active agricultural use in the last three years? Yes X No; if yes, how many acres of land in agricultural use (with agricultural soils) will be converted to nonagricultural use?
	C. Is any part of the project site currently or proposed to be in active forestry use? Yes _X_ No; if yes, please describe current and proposed forestry activities and indicate whether any part of the site is the subject of a DEM-approved forest management plan:
	D. Does any part of the project involve conversion of land held for natural resources purposes in accordance with Article 97 of the Amendments to the Constitution of the Commonwealth to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97? Yes _X_No; if yes, describe:
	E. Is any part of the project site currently subject to a conservation restriction, preservation restriction, agricultural preservation restriction or watershed preservation restriction? Yes X No; if yes, does the project involve the release or modification of such restriction? Yes X No; if yes, describe:
	F. Does the project require approval of a new urban redevelopment project or a fundamental change in an existing urban redevelopment project under M.G.L.c.121A? Yes _X No; if yes, describe:
	G. Does the project require approval of a new urban renewal plan or a major modification of an existing urban renewal plan under M.G.L.c.121B? Yes No _X; if yes, describe:
	H. Describe the project's stormwater impacts and, if applicable, measures that the project will take to comply with the standards found in DEP's Stormwater Management Policy: The project is not subject to the DEP Stormwater Management Policy. Impacts to stormwater, if any, will be