Commonwealth of Massachusetts



Executive Office of Environmental Affairs • MEPA Office

Environmental Notification Form

7	or Office	Use Only	
Executive (of E	nvironment	al Affair

EOEA No.: 13949 MEPA Analyst*Anne Canaday* Phone: 617-626-1035

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Rexhame Area Stream Restor	ation and Culvert	Improvement Project	
Street: Drainage Channel between Ocean St. and Constitution St.			
Municipality: Marshfield	Watershed: Mas	sachusetts Bay	
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:	Latitude: 42d 06	' 33" N	
521481.7E, 13326449N (NAD83)	Longitude: 70d 40' 06" W		
Estimated commencement date: Spring 2007	Estimated comp	letion date: Fall 2008	
Approximate cost: \$860,000	Status of project	design: 100 %complete	
Proponent: Marshfield Department of Public V	Vorks		
Street: 870 Moraine Street			
Municipality: Marshfield	State: MA	Zip Code: 02050	
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies	of this ENF May	Be Obtained:	
Jeffrey W. Oakes	ŧ		
Firm/Agency: CLE Engineering, Inc.	Street: 15 Creek	Road	
Municipality: Marion	State: MA	Zip Code: 02738	
Phone:508-748-0937 Fax:508-748	3-1363 E-mail:j	oakes@cleengineering.com	
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)? ☐ Yes ☐ No			
Has this project been filed with MEPA before?			
	Yes (EOEA No)	
Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA	before? Yes (EOEA No) 🖾No	
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) request a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11.09) a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11) a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	esting:	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No	
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres): An application to the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program has been submitted; however, funds from the Commonwealth will not be available.			
Are you requesting coordinated review with any o		regional, or local agency?	

Which ENF or EIR review thresh	nold(s) does th	ne project me	et or exceed	(see 301 CMR 11.03):
☐ Land ☐ Water ☐ Energy ☐ ACEC	Rare Speci Wastewate Air Regulations	r 🔲	Transportat Solid & Haz	Vaterways, & Tidelands ion ardous Waste Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals
	.AND			Order of Conditions
Total site acreage	0.60			Superseding Order of Conditions
New acres of land altered		0.60		Chapter 91 License
Acres of impervious area	0.33	0	0.33	
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		1,900		MHD or MBC Access Permit
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		12,600	<i>y</i>	☐ Water Management Act Permit
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways	<u></u>	0		New Source Approval DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit
STRI	JCTURES		417	☑ Other Permits
Gross square footage	n	0	C	(including Legislative
Number of housing units	0	0	0	Approvals) - Specify: ACOE Category 2 permit
Maximum height (in feet)	0	0	0	
TRANS	PORTATION			,
Vehicle trips per day	0	0	0	
Parking spaces	0	0	0	
	VASTEWATE			
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	0	0	0	
GPD water withdrawal	0	0	0	
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	0	0	0	
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	0	0	0	
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the pronatural resources to any purpose not Yes (Specify Will it involve the release of any cons restriction, or watershed preservation Yes (Specify	in accordance vervation restrict restriction?	with Article 97) ion, preservati	? ⊠No	

RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Ha	bitat	of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority
Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?		•
Yes (Specify)	⊠No
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does th		
listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory	of His	storic and Archaeological Assets of the
Commonwealth?		
☐Yes (Specify	_)	⊠No
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction	of an	ny listed or inventoried historic or
archaeological resources?		•
Yes (Specify	,) ⊠No
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the	e pro	oject in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
Environmental Concern?		
☐Yes (Specify)	⊠No
DDO IFOT DECODIBITION TO 1 1 1 1 1 1		Additional and a state of the

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)

- a) The Rexhame area of Marshfield experiences drainage problems and flooding in and along an open drainage channel that runs southerly from Rexhame Road to Ocean Street between Surf Avenue and Country Way. Periodic flooding occurs due to the channel's low elevation, downstream drainage conditions in Bass Creek and lack of culvert capacity at roadways that cross the channel. The channel has an extremely irregular, flat slope and the bottom of the channel is below mean sea level and the groundwater table. Because the channel has such a flat gradient and is constantly full of water, the water becomes stagnant during dry weather. Also the bottom of the channel is unstable such that it presents a safety concern for children in the area. This project has been initiated by the Marshfield Department of Public Works (DPW) to restore the channel to a safe and healthy condition and improve drainage conditions.
- b) Previous attempts have been made by the Town to clean the channel in order to make it drain. However, the underlying soils within the channel are unstable in some locations such that working in the channel is not safe or practical. In addition, the unstable channel bottom presents a safety issue for young children in the area. Previous recommendations presented by Coler & Colantonio, Inc. to sheet, dredge and replace the existing channel bottom (and culverts) were deemed to be unviable by the Town from a cost and permitting standpoint.

The no-build alternative will disallow the proposed stream restoration project's goals to:

- Provide a uniform and stable channel bottom
- Reinforce banks to prevent settling, erosion and loss of vegetation
- Improve aerobic condition of channel by creating better drainage
- Improve function and values of existing stream
- Reduce flooding impacts in Rexhame Area neighborhood
- c) The re-constructed channel will promote the flow of stormwater, thereby reducing the extent of stagnant water of low habitat value. The stabilization and planting of the Bank and Bordering Vegetated Wetland will also restore wetland functions and values to the drainage system. In addition to specific permit requirements developed by the Marshfield Conservation Commission the following restrictions are proposed:
 - 1. Absolutely no release of any petroleum products or hydraulic fluid is allowed in the work area. The contractor will have sufficient sorbent pads on site to contain any accidental spill. No refueling of the construction equipment will be allowed within the limits excavation and re-grading.
 - 2. Excavated material and slash from the trimming of vegetation and removal from the channel will be properly disposed of away from the site.

- 3. Soil stabilization with hay mulch and soil roughening shall be performed immediately after soils are disturbed. Soil stabilization measures will be maintained until the vegetation is established.
- 4. Siltation fence and/or staked hay bales will be properly installed at the downstream end of each channel to capture sediment in the event of a rainfall event occurring while re-grading is underway and soils are susceptible to erosion.
- 5. Discharge from the de-watering pumps shall be directed into energy dissipating devices in order to allow for settling of suspended particles and to reduce scouring of the receiving channel.

LAND SECTION - all proponents must fill out this section

١.	Thresholds / Permits				
	A. Does the project meet or exceed any review thresholds related to land (see 301 CMR 11.03(1)				
	YesX_ No; if yes, specify each threshold:				
II.	Impacts and Permits				
	A. Describe, in acres, the current and proposed character of the project site, as follows: <u>Existing</u> <u>Change</u> <u>Total</u>				
	Existing Change Total Footprint of buildings 0 0 0 0				
	Roadways, parking, and other paved areas 0.33 0 0.35				
	Other altered areas (describe) Excavated channel 0.38 0 0.38				
	Undeveloped areas00				
	B. Has any part of the project site been in active agricultural use in the last three years? Yes X No; if yes, how many acres of land in agricultural use (with agricultural soils) will be converted to nonagricultural use?				
	C. Is any part of the project site currently or proposed to be in active forestry use? Yes X No; if yes, please describe current and proposed forestry activities and indicate whether any part of the site is the subject of a DEM-approved forest management plan:				
	D. Does any part of the project involve conversion of land held for natural resources purposes in accordance with Article 97 of the Amendments to the Constitution of the Commonwealth to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97? Yes _X No; if yes, describe:				
	E. Is any part of the project site currently subject to a conservation restriction, preservation restriction, agricultural preservation restriction or watershed preservation restriction? Yes X No; if yes, does the project involve the release or modification of such restriction? Yes No; if yes, describe:				
	F. Does the project require approval of a new urban redevelopment project or a fundamental change in an existing urban redevelopment project under M.G.L.c.121A? YesX_ No; if yes, describe:				
	G. Does the project require approval of a new urban renewal plan or a major modification of an existing urban renewal plan under M.G.L.c.121B? YesNo _X_; if yes, describe:				
	H. Describe the project's stormwater impacts and, if applicable, measures that the project will take to comply with the standards found in DEP's Stormwater Management Policy:				
	I. Is the project site currently being regulated under M.G.L.c.21E or the Massachusetts Contingency Plan? Yes No _X; if yes, what is the Release Tracking Number (RTN)?				
	 J. If the project is site is within the Chicopee or Nashua watershed, is it within the Quabbin, Ware, or 				
	Wachusett subwatershed? Yes _X _No; if yes, is the project site subject to regulation under the Watershed Protection Act? Yes No				
	K. Describe the project's other impacts on land:				