Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office



Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only Executive Office of Environmental Affairs

EOEA No.: 1436/ MEPA Analyst: Anne Canaday Phone: 617-626- 10.35

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: 600 West Street Mansfield				
Street: 600 West Street				
Municipality: Mansfield		Watershed: Taunton River		
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:		Latitude: 42°-01'-26.24"N		
		Longitude: 71°-14'-55.02"W		
Estimated commencement date: April 2009		Estimated completion date: August 2010		
Approximate cost: \$15 million		Status of project design: 90 %complete		
Proponent: 600 West Street Mansfield, LL	.C			
Street: 800 Technology Center Drive				
Municipality: Stoughton		State: MA	Zip Code: 02072	
Name of Contact Person From Whor	n Copies	s of this ENF May	y Be Obtained:	
William R. Buckley, Jr., P.E.				
Firm/Agency: Bay Colony Group, Inc.		Street: 4 School Street		
Municipality: Foxborough		State: MA	Zip Code: 02035	
Phone: 508.543.3939 Fax: 508		543.8866	E-mail:	
			billbuckley@baycolonygroup.com	

Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)?	
TYes	⊠No
Has this project been filed with MEPA before?	
□Yes (EOEA No)	⊠No
Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA before?	
[]Yes (EOEA No)	⊠No
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) requesting:	
a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8))	⊠No

a Special Review Procedure? (see 301CMR 11.09)	Yes	No
a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	∐Yes	⊠No
a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	□Yes	⊠No

Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres):

Are you requesting coordinated re	eview with any other federal, sta	te, regional, or local agency?
Yes(Specify_)	⊠No

List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals: Site Plan Approval – Building Commissioner Town of Mansfield Stormwater Management Permit EPA NPDES Permit for Construction Activities



Revised 10/99

Comment period is limited. For information call 617-626-1020

Which ENF or EIR review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03):

□ Land [□ Water [□ Energy [□ ACEC [Rare Specie Wastewate Air Regulations	r 🛛	Transportati Solid & Haz	/aterways, & Tidelands ion ardous Waste Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals
	AND			Order of Conditions
Total site acreage	6.3			Superseding Order of Conditions
New acres of land altered		5.4		Chapter 91 License
Acres of impervious area	0.8	3.1	3.9	401 Water Quality Certification
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		0		MHD or MDC Access Permit
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		0		Water Management Act Permit New Source Approval
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0		DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit
STR	UCTURES			Other Permits
Gross square footage	0	104,000+/-	104,000+/-	(including Legislative Approvals) – Specify:
Number of housing units	0	0	0	MHD Indirect Access Permit
Maximum height (in feet)	0	60	60	
, TRANS	PORTATION			
Vehicle trips per day	0	1,375	1,375	
Parking spaces	75	354	429	
WATER/	WASTEWATER			
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	0	7,800	7,800	
GPD water withdrawal	0	0	0	
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	0	7,800	7,800	
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	0	0	0	

<u>CONSERVATION LAND</u>: Will the project involve the conversion of public parkland or other Article 97 public natural resources to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97?

□Yes (Specify_____) ⊠No

Will it involve the release of any conservation restriction, preservation restriction, agricultural preservation

restriction, or watershed preservation restriction?	
□Yes (Specify)	⊠No

 RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?
 ☐ Yes (Specify______)

 ☐ Yes (Specify_______)
 ☑ No

 HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth?

 ☐ Yes (Specify_______)
 ☑ No

 If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological resources?

[]Yes (Specify_____) ⊠No

AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL	CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
Environmental Concern?	
Yes (Specify) 🖾No

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (*You may attach one additional page, if necessary.*)

The proposed project involves the construction of a 3-story 104,000 sf office building, 429 parking spaces and associated utilities and drainage on a 6.3 acre parcel of land located on the east side of the intersection of Route 495 and West Street in the Town of Mansfield **(Figure 2)**. The project is allowed "by right" under the Zoning Bylaws of the Town of Mansfield and will require site plan approval from the Building Commissioner. It will also require a Stormwater Management Permit from the Mansfield Conservation Commission, an Indirect Access Permit from the Massachusetts Highway Department and an NPDES Permit for construction activities.

The project has been designed for two different scenarios and both scenarios involve the construction of about 100,000 sf of office space. The initial design was a more elongated lot and included the construction of 400 parking spaces that would be as much as 600 feet from the proposed building (Figure 3). The existing and preferred design is a result of a land swap between the abutter to the south and a previous owner. This new lot configuration allowed for a more compact building with parking around the entire building. Although the preferred design has more parking spaces than the first option, this is not due to increased asphalt. Rather, it is due to making use of the Mansfield bylaw that allows the use of compact parking spaces. Though there is little difference between the designs as regards density and lot coverage, the preferred design has a superior lot layout which makes it more attractive to tenants.