

## The Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs 100 Cambridge Street, Suite 900 Boston, MA 02114-2524

MITT ROMNEY GOVERNOR KERRY HEALEY LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

STEPHEN R. PRITCHARD SECRETARY

Tel. (617) 626-1000 Fax. (617) 626-1181 http://www.mass.gov/envir

February 24, 2005

## CERTIFICATE OF THE SECRETARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS ON THE ENVIRONMENTAL NOTIFICATION FORM

**PROJECT NAME** : Single Family Residence

PROJECT MUNICIPALITY : Newbury

PROJECT WATERSHED : Merrimack River

EOEA NUMBER : 13715

: Eugene M. Novak PROJECT PROPONENT DATE NOTICED IN MONITOR : January 25, 2006

Pursuant to the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act (G. L. c. 30, ss. 61-62H) and Section 11.06 of the MEPA regulations (301 CMR 11.00), I hereby determine that this project does not require the preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR).

As described in the Environmental Notification Form (ENF), the project consists of construction of an approximately 676 square foot (sf) single family home and associated parking, decks and utilities on a 4,550 square foot (sf) parcel in Newbury. The residence will be serviced by municipal water and sewer. The site is located on 12th Street in Plum Island, which is a barrier beach, within an existing residential neighborhood. The site is currently occupied by a trailer and a vegetated dune.

The project is undergoing MEPA review pursuant to Section 11.03 (3)(b)(1)(a) because it requires a state permit and will alter 1,154 square feet (sf) of Coastal Dune and Barrier Beach. The project requires a Superseding Order of Conditions (SOC) from the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP). The proponent is not seeking financial assistance from the Commonwealth for the project, therefore MEPA jurisdiction extends to those aspects of the project that may cause significant Damage to the Environment and that are within the subject

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Utility Services to Plum Island Project (EOEA #12416) was reviewed by MEPA.

matter of required or potentially required state permits. These include wetlands and coastal resources.

Project impacts are associated with the alteration of Coastal Dune and Barrier Beach. To avoid, minimize and mitigate impacts the proponent has proposed to elevate the house on pilings, install snow fencing to limit the construction zone and impacts to resource areas and re-vegetate all disturbed areas after construction is complete.

DEP comments indicate that the above-referenced project already has undergone a significant wetlands review process. Initially, DEP issued a negative SOC and the proponent appealed this decision to the DEP Office of Administrative Appeals. To avoid litigation and meet the standards of the Wetlands Protection Act (WPA), the proponent revised its project plan to include the mitigation measures noted above.

The review of the ENF has served to adequately disclose the potential impacts and mitigation associated with this project. Based on the information in the ENF and after consultation with relevant public agencies, I find that the potential impacts of the project are not significant enough to warrant the preparation of an EIR. No further MEPA review is required.

February 24, 2006

Date

Stephen R. Pritchard

Comments received:

2/14/06

Department of Environmental Protection NERO

SRP/CDB/cdb